

Dear Chairman Powell and Commissioners,
Do you really think the cesspool that is known as the national presidential election process serves any good but the monied interests of the powerful?

Do you really believe the American public think you and the FCC stand for anything other than obsequy and toadyism?

Wouldn't you like to do one thing you could be proud of while serving as chair of the FCC? Something you could genuinely say actually helped the national morale and had a real and really noble impact on the culture of our country?

As a citizen who wants to be informed about the political and electoral issues that affect my life, I am greatly disappointed by the lack of informative television programming.

Local public affairs programming is virtually non-existent--constituting less than one half of 1% of all local programming. More than half of news broadcasts prior to the 2002 elections did not include a single campaign story. Our democracy is at risk when many Americans don't know basic facts about the candidates or the issues, as was the case in the 2000 elections.

Since broadcasters have clearly failed in their obligation to serve the public interest, the FCC should define meaningful public interest requirements that include local civic and electoral affairs programming. At a minimum, broadcasters should air three hours per week of local civic and electoral affairs programming, with at least two hours devoted to substantive election coverage in the six weeks prior to Election Day.

As the elections approach, a well-functioning democracy demands that citizens have access to a diversity of opinions and voices on the choices confronting us.

Sincerely,

R Mark Grace
774 Mill Creek Rd.
Lancaster, TX 751462835